

Qualifying season requirement

All qualifying events taking place on or after September 1, 2026 are required to use the IASF score sheet in its comparative format for IASF Cheer divisions awarding bids to the 2027 IASF Cheerleading World Championship.

This requirement gives teams the opportunity to experience the IASF Worlds score sheet before competing at the championship, allows global scoring leads to collaborate and provide feedback throughout the season, and helps set the 2027 event up for success by building on the collective experience and insight gained during qualifying competitions.

Milestones

- *Now available* – Official Score Sheet Release
- *July 2026* – Release of benchmarking guide and educational materials
- *September 1, 2026* – Qualifying events begin requiring use of the IASF comparative score sheet
- *September 2026 – March 2027* – Regular scoring updates and clarifications released throughout the qualifying season

Stay informed

The IASF anticipates releasing scoring updates and clarifications on a regular cadence from September 2026 through March 2027. To stay up to date on all score sheet developments, [join the IASF mailing list](#).

Questions: scoring@iasfworlds.com

The new score sheet transitions to a 100-point scale while retaining the established weighting framework that reflects long-standing sport governance models. This ensures All Star cheerleading maintains its distinct identity even as it evolves alongside other global sports.

The move to a 100-point system is a deliberate, strategic step designed to reflect how the sport is evolving and how it's experienced globally.

Global clarity & accessibility

A 100-point scale provides a universally recognized framework, improving understanding for athletes, coaches, audiences, and emerging markets around the world.

Alignment with international sport systems

This shift reflects the evolving All Star landscape, creating a more seamless experience for teams competing across both All Star and international cheer pathways that already use 100-point scales.

Flexibility across markets

The score sheet continues to support a range of competitive strategies, remaining accessible to both developing and highly competitive All Star markets. It maintains the core principles of the IASF comparative framework, supporting strategic choice and allowing teams to build routines that showcase their unique strengths.

Improved understanding of comparative scoring

Additional resources will help coaches and athletes better understand how scores are applied within the context of their level and division.

Questions: scoring@iasfworlds.co

IASF SCORING SYSTEM

MASTER SCORE SHEET

INTERNATIONAL NON-TUMBLING DIVISIONS

Category	Technique	Difficulty	Subtotal
Stunt	15.0	15.0	30.0
Pyramid	15.0	15.0	30.0
Tosses	2.0	2.0	4.0
Jumps	2.0	2.0	4.0
Dance	2.0	2.0	4.0
Routine Creativity/Composition		10.0	10.0
Formations & Transitions		5.0	5.0
Performance		5.0	5.0

TOTAL	92.0
-------	------

SCORING SYSTEM

INTERNATIONAL L5.0-L7.0 DIVISIONS



STUNT DIFFICULTY – ALL GIRL DIVISIONS (cumulative throughout the routine)

0	No skills performed
1.0 – 6.0	Less than a Majority of the team performs a level appropriate skill
6.0 – 15.0	A Majority of the team performs a level appropriate skills

STUNT DIFFICULTY – COED DIVISIONS (cumulative throughout the routine)

0	No skills performed
1.0 – 6.0	Less than a Majority of the team performs a level appropriate skill. No single based (assisted or unassisted) skill performed.
6.0 – 12.0	A Majority of the team performs a level appropriate skill and a minimum of 1 single based (assisted or unassisted) skill.
6.0 – 15.0	A Majority of the team performs a level appropriate skill and a Majority of the team performs single based (assisted or unassisted) skill.
Transitional skills will NOT count towards the Single Based (assisted or unassisted) requirement	

PYRAMID DIFFICULTY (cumulative throughout the routine)

0	No skills performed
1.0 – 9.0	No level appropriate skills and/or less than 2 structures
9.0 – 15.0	A minimum of 1 level appropriate skill and 2 structures
PYRAMID – MINIMUM TWO STRUCTURES	
Consecutive transitions within a pyramid will not meet the minimum requirement of hitting a structure. Structures must meet the definition of a “pyramid” in the IASF rules/glossary	

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Level Appropriate: any skill that is first allowed at that level, according to the IASF Legality Cheer Rules

STUNT & PYRAMID TECHNIQUE Stunt: 15-points & Pyramid: 15-points

Execution • Stability • Flexibility • Uniformity • Sync		
BELOW AVERAGE	AVERAGE	ABOVE AVERAGE
1.0 – 6.0	6.0 – 13.0	13.0 – 15.0
A zero is issued when no skills are performed		

STUNT – TEAM GROUP CHART (ALL GIRL & COED)

# ATHLETES	MAJORITY
15 & Under	2
16 – 19	3
20 – 23	3
24 – 30	4

STUNT & PYRAMID DIFFICULTY CONSIDERATIONS

The following are considered when COMPARING teams:

- Degree of difficulty of skills
- Percentage of team participation
- Minimal use of bases
- Variety of load-ins, dismounts and transitions
- Pace, additional skills, and combination of skills (non-level appropriate included)

TOSS – TEAM GROUP CHART

# ATHLETES	MAJORITY
15 & Under	1
16 – 19	2
20 – 29	3
30	4

TOSS DIFFICULTY

0	No skills performed
0.1 – 0.5	Less than a Majority of the team performs a level appropriate toss
0.5 – 2.0	A Majority of the team performs a level appropriate toss

TOSS DIFFICULTY CONSIDERATIONS

The following are considered when COMPARING teams:

- Degree of difficulty of tosses
- Percentage of team participation
- Variety
- Additional tosses (non-level appropriate included)
- Height

TOSS TECHNIQUE

Execution • Flexibility • Uniformity • Sync		
BELOW AVERAGE	AVERAGE	ABOVE AVERAGE
0.1 – 0.5	0.5 – 1.5	1.5 – 2.0
A zero is issued when no skills are performed		

SCORING SYSTEM

INTERNATIONAL L5.0-L7.0 DIVISIONS



JUMP DIFFICULTY (cumulative throughout the routine)

0	No skills performed
0.5	Less than 3 advanced jumps performed
1.5	Most of the team performs 3 advanced jumps
2.0	Most of the team performs 3 advanced jumps, with a minimum of 2 connected advanced jumps; the jumps must be synchronized and include variety
ADVANCED JUMPS	
Herkie • Hurdler • Toe Touch • Pike • Double Nine	

JUMP TECHNIQUE

Execution • Flexibility • Uniformity • Sync		
BELOW AVERAGE	AVERAGE	ABOVE AVERAGE
0.1 - 0.5	0.5 - 1.5	1.5 - 2.0
A zero is issued when no skills are performed		

JUMP - TEAM COUNT

# ATHLETES	MAJORITY	MOST
15 & Below	8	9
16 - 17	9	10
18 - 19	10	11
20 - 21	11	12
22 - 23	12	13
24 - 25	13	14
26 - 27	14	15
28 - 29	15	16
30	16	18

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

No Tumbling Allowed

EXCEPTION: Forward rolls, handstands, cartwheels and round offs allowed for stunting purposes or formation changes and must follow appropriate level inversion rules.

SCORING SYSTEM

INTERNATIONAL L1-L7 DIVISIONS



ROUTINE CREATIVITY/COMPOSITION

A team's ability to consistently demonstrate innovative, visual, and creative ideas throughout all routine elements including strong pace, variety of formations and seamless flow between elements.

BELOW	AVERAGE	ABOVE AVERAGE
3.0	4.0 – 9.0	9.0 – 10.0

FORMATIONS & TRANSITIONS

A team's ability to demonstrate precise spacing and uniform timing.

BELOW	AVERAGE	ABOVE AVERAGE
1.0 – 2.0	2.0 – 4.5	4.5 – 5.0

DANCE DIFFICULTY

The following are considered:

- Visual Elements
- Variety Of Levels
- Formation Changes
- Pace & Intricacy
- Footwork & Floorwork
- Partnerwork
- Team Participation

BELOW	AVERAGE	ABOVE AVERAGE
0.1 – 0.5	0.5 – 1.5	1.5 – 2.0
A zero is issued when no skills/elements are performed		

DANCE TECHNIQUE

The following are considered:

- Perfection
- Synchronization
- Precision of spacing
- Uniformity
- Arm/Motion placement
- Entertainment value
- Energy level

BELOW	AVERAGE	ABOVE AVERAGE
0.1 – 0.5	0.5 – 1.5	1.5 – 2.0
A zero is issued when no skills/elements are performed		

PERFORMANCE

A team's ability to demonstrate high levels of energy, entertainment value, confidence, and showmanship.

BELOW	AVERAGE	ABOVE AVERAGE
3.0	3.0 – 4.5	4.5 – 5.0